EXHIBIT

```
1
    0asrterc
 1
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
   In re: TERRORIST ATTACKS ON
                                        03 MDL 1570 (GBD)
 3
            SEPTEMBER 11, 2001
 4
 4
    ____X
 5
 5
                                         New York, N.Y.
                                         October 28, 2010
 6
 6
                                         11:00 a.m.
   Before:
 7
 7
 8
            HON. FRANK MAAS
 8
 9
                                         Magistrate Judge
 9
10
10
           APPEARANCES
11
11
12
12
13 COZEN O'CONNOR
        Attorneys for plaintiff Federal Insurance
13
14 BY: SEAN P. CARTER
15
15 KREINDLER & KREINDLER
        Attorneys for Ashton plaintiffs
   BY: ANDREW J. MALONEY, III
16
17
17
   MOTLEY RICE LLC
18
        Attorneys for Burnett plaintiffs
18
   BY: ROBERT T. HAEFELE
19
19
20
    HANLY CONROY BIERSTEIN SHERIDAN FISHER HAYES LLP
20
        Attorneys for Burnett and Euro Brokers plaintiffs
21
   BY: ANDREA BIERSTEIN
21
22
22
23
   ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.
         Attorneys for O'Neill plaintiffs
23
    BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN
24
24
25
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

0asrterc APPEARANCES BERNABEI & WACHTEL PLLC Attorneys for Defendants Al Haramain Islamic Foundation and P. Sedaghaty BY: ALAN R. KABAT STEVEN K. BARENTZEN Attorney for Defendant Dr. Jamal Barzinji CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP Attorneys for Defendant Dubai Islamic Bank BY: STEVEN T. COTTREAU OMAR T. MOHAMMEDI Attorney for defendants Wamy International, Inc. and CAIR SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

16

0asrterc 1 specific. 2 MR. CARTER: That's fine. Thank you, your Honor. MR. HAEFELE: Your Honor, you had set three dates, and 3 I think we moved the January 14th date. Did you want to keep 5 the February 8th date? THE COURT: I thought about pushing that back a 6 7 little. I may be out of town the following week. The 11th is a holiday. Why don't we leave it for now and see what happens 8 9 as we go along. Is there anything else that we ought to take up other 10 11 than the Al Haramain motion? 12 MR. CARTER: No, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Having read through the papers regarding the Al Haramain U.S. entity's duty to produce documents within 14 15 the files of the Saudi Arabian entity, it seems to me that the 16 only real showing that was made of the practical ability of the 17 U.S. entity to obtain documents, as opposed to money, from the 18 Saudi entity was a request for certain Islamic literature, 19 which, it seems to me, was not indicative of its ability to 20 secure financial and other records from the Saudi Arabian 21 entity. 22 To the extent that the motion is predicated on the 23 theory that the U.S. entity had control in some sense of those 24 documents, I reject that claim. 25 On the other hand, to the extent that the argument is SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

0asrterc

that the U.S. and Saudi entities are alter egos of one another -- it seems to me that that is correct based on each of the factors that was discussed during oral argument -- it seems to me that essentially the Saudi entity controlled in many respects the U.S. entity and that the two were indistinguishable from one another, which gives rise to a duty to produce.

Turning to the specific discovery requests, there are document requests and there are interrogatories, none of which were discussed when the motion was orally argued but which certainly are fully briefed in the letter submissions that were made to me.

As to the interrogatories, I agree with the defendants that they violated the prior arrangements concerning the number of acceptable interrogatories and did so without prior permission of the Court. So as to interrogatories, I'm going to sustain the objection and not compel further answers.

On the other hand, as to the document requests, it seems to me essentially what I was given was only boilerplate assertions of burdensomeness. To the extent that the objections were on that ground, I'm going to overrule those objections. I am therefore going to direct the U.S. foundation to produce the documents requested by the plaintiffs which are in its possession, custody, or control. And notwithstanding the complications that were outlined in the defendants' papers, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

18 0asrterc 1 I'm going to make the same direction as to the Saudi foundation. I agree with the plaintiffs that there has been no adequate showing that the Saudi entity has sought to obtain the 4 5 documents but cannot. There was an affidavit or declaration from Mr. Nelson and also from the second individual, Mr. 6 7 al-Buti. But the two of them together, it seems to me, does not amount to an adequate showing that the Saudi foundation, 8 even in the circumstance that persists today, necessarily 9 cannot obtain documents. 10 11 Having directed both the U.S. and the Saudi entities to produce documents, I recognize that that may not open the 12 floodgates in terms of document production, and I intend to 13 leave for another day what the consequences of any 14 15 nonproduction by either of those two defendants will be. 16 That is my ruling with respect to the letter 17 application concerning Al Haramain. Any questions? 18 Anything further we ought to take up today? 19 MR. CARTER: I don't think so, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Good. Thank you for coming in. 21 (Adjourned) 22 23 24 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300